Interview Summary	Application No. 09/477,943 JE-1+SIUNG LAN et al Examiner S. Ahmed 2623
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Norman = Carte (# 30,455)	(3)
(2) Samir Ahmed	
Date of Interview	-
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2)	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	D. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed:	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
None	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: HE Examiner Called the Applicant's representative to inform that the claims hatter dependency problem, for example dependent claim of is a method depend from claims which is an apparatus. The claims also have 112,2nd point problem, Lack of antecendent hasis. The Examiner is	
available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the ame summary thereof must be attached.)	rents which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if endments that would render the claims allowable is available, a record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Correcting that problem. The Claims also are subject to restrict in far centaining subject matter of different species.	
Species	Sun
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required